



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,542		12/27/2001	Kyung-Ja Han	· 2669-0117P	9476
2292	7590	10/30/2003		EXAMI	NER
		KOLASCH & BIF	ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			1645	
				DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
		10/026,542	HAN, KYUNG-JA					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Robert A. Zeman	1645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of rill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	lugust 2003 .						
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa							
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	,	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	, ,,	disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	•	arriirier.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	priority under 25 LLC (S \$ 110(a) (d) as (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a)	<u> </u>	s have been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
* (application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).					
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	ç priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and response filed on 8-22-2003 is acknowledged. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 2 has been added. Claims 1-2 are pending and currently under examination.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the confusing claim language recited is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "in 0.6% NaCl" is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto.

The rejection of claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being rendered vague and indefinite by the use of the phrase "analyzing by flow cytometry" is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto

The rejection of claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BD Biosciences (Technical Resources, BD Biosciences, 2000 page 176) is withdrawn in light of the amendment thereto. The cited reference utilizes whole blood (peripheral) and not red blood cells as recited in the amended claims. It should be noted that said amendment is deemed to introduce new matter (see below) and as such, the aforementioned rejection may be reinstated after the resolution of the new matter issue.

Art Unit: 1645

Claim Rejections Maintained and New Grounds of Rejection

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite, "staining red blood cells isolated as the sample". This phrase does not appear in the specification, or original claims as filed. Applicant does not point out specific basis for this limitation in the application, and none is apparent. The specification is drawn to methods of staining whole blood not isolated red blood cells. Therefore this limitation is new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1645

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps is maintained for reasons of record. See MPEP § 2172.01. The stated goal of the amended claim is to diagnose hemolytic anemia. However, there is no correlation between the recited steps of staining red blood cells with anti-hemoglobin antibody to identify the quantity of fragmented red blood cells and indented red blood cells and the stated goal of the claimed method. How does the ratio of fragmented and indented red blood cells correlate to a diagnosis of hemolytic anemia?

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1645

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 308-7991. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600